

Capital Plan Post Implementation Review	
Service:	Leisure
Scheme Title:	Poult Wood Golf Centre – Replacement of Irrigation System
Scheme Description:	Replaces irrigation system installed in 1974 and extended in 1994 to incorporate 9-hole Course. The scheme incorporates technological advances in reducing the overall water requirement.
Evaluation:	Finance & Property Advisory Board 2 January 2008
Capital Plan Year(s)	2008/09
Approved budget	£221,000. The original approved scheme budget of £208,000 was increased during the 2008/09 Capital Plan Review by £8,000 by way of a virement from the Poult Wood Capital Renewals provision and a further £5,000 to cover the costs of Construction, Design and Management supervision and an enhanced control system.
National Priorities	None
Local Priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Key Priority 10a</u> – Promote, encourage and provide opportunities for healthy living. • <u>Key Priority 13b</u> – Make a positive local contribution to tackling the causes and effects of climate change. • 7a – Enable the whole community including those most in need, to more fully enjoy leisure and cultural activities. • 7c – Operate the Council's facilities/services more effectively, efficiently and economically, within approved levels of resource to meet identified needs.
Targets for judging success:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer satisfaction levels. • Income levels. • Reduced water consumption. • Reduction in system break down. • Business continuity.
Completion date (work completed):	27 February 2009.
Completion date (final payment):	27 February 2009.
Projected date for post implementation review:	15 March 2010.
Final cost:	£219,092.
Performance against National and Local Priorities and Targets:	The installation has proved successful in terms of course management and improvement. Considerable reduction experienced in terms of breakdown. Positive customer reaction assisting in retaining income levels. The Head Greenkeeper is able to respond remotely to changing weather conditions.
Budget performance / Value for money:	Project completed within budget following competitive tender.
Other performance / procurement issues:	Contractor proved to be high quality and responsive with little disruption to customers.
Ongoing / Outstanding issues:	Proposed to retain contractor for winter decommissioning/spring commissioning.